United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			I. Shadur	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge				
CASE NUMBER		R 03 (C 947	DATE	3/31/	2003		
CASE TITLE			Thomas Scott Dean vs. Liberty Funding Services, et al.					
[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]								
DOCKET ENTRY:								
(1)	□ File	Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]						
(2)	□ Bri	Brief in support of motion due						
(3)	□ Ân:	Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)	□ Ru.	Ruling/Hearing on set for at						
(5)	□ Sta	Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(6)	□ Pre	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(7)	□ Tri	Trial[set for/re-set for] on at						
(8)	□ [Be	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued toat						
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).						
[Other docket entry] ENTER MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER. Liberty's answer and Ads are stricken in their entirety. Leave is of course granted to Liberty's counsel to file a self-contained amended answer(including any permissible Ads) in this Court's chambers (with a copy being transmitted to Dean's counsel) on or before April 15, 2003, failing which the allegations of Dean's Complaint corresponding to the stricken paragraphs of the Answer will be deemed admitted and all Ads will remain stricken. Finally, Liberty's counsel cannot reasonably expect their client to pay for their errors. They are ordered to make no charge for their time spent or the out-of-pocket expenditures incurred in connection with the replacement pleading, to advise the client of that fact by letter and to send a copy of that letter to this Court. [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]								
()		d, advised in open court.]	,		Document		
	No notices require	d.			5 number of notices	Number		
/	Notices mailed by judge's staff.				PR 0 1 2003			
Notified counsel by telephone. Docketing to mail notices.		}		ate docketed				
Mail AO 450 form.		1,4000	(M	1/1			
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.		ERK SICT COURT	U.S. DISTR	dycketing Reputy initials 3/31/2093	1 / 0		
	JD	courtroom deputy's initials	24:4 Ng 1	E AAM En	date mailed notice			
			Central C	e received in ferk's Office	mailing deputy initials			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

THOMAS SCOTT DEAN,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) No. 03 C 0947	
LIBERTY FUNDING SERVICES, INC., and WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, INC.,)))	DOCKETED APR 0 1 2003
Defendants)	2003

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Liberty Funding Services, Inc., ("Liberty") has filed its
Answer and Affirmative Defenses ("ADs") to the Truth in Lending
Act and consumer fraud action brought against it and Wells Fargo
Home Mortgage, Inc. by Thomas Dean ("Dean"). This memorandum
order is issued sua sponte to require the correction of some
obvious flaws in that responsive pleading.

It appears that Liberty's counsel are numbered among the distressingly substantial cadre of lawyers who either do not read or who, having read, choose to ignore certain directives set out in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Rules"). Thus Answer ¶¶2, 3, 5, 7 and 10 fail to conform to the representations that are expressly required of any pleader who seeks the benefit of a deemed denial under the second sentence of Rule 8(b)-- see App. ¶1 to State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Riley, 199 F.R.D. 276, 278 (N.D. Ill. 2001). All of those paragraphs are stricken.



In addition, all four of Liberty's ADs are flawed. Here are their patent defects:

- 1. AD1, advanced as the equivalent of a Rule 12(b)(6) motion, is simply wrong. Apart from its misuse of the term "cause of action" in place of the proper federal term "claim," it is clear that if Dean's allegations are accepted as true (as they must be for this purpose) he is entitled to stay in court.
- 2. All of ADs 2, 3 and 4 violate the concept embodied in Rule 8(c) and its interpretative case law, for Liberty's assertions are at war with the allegations of the Complaint (see particularly Complaint ¶29, which alleges that "Liberty's conduct was dishonest and malicious"). In that respect, see App. ¶5 to State Farm.

To avoid a patchwork of pleading, Liberty's Answer and ADs are stricken in their entirety. Leave is of course granted to Liberty's counsel to file a self-contained Amended Answer (including any permissible ADs) in this Court's chambers (with a copy being transmitted to Dean's counsel) on or before April 15, 2003, failing which the allegations of Dean's Complaint corresponding to the stricken paragraphs of the Answer will be deemed admitted and all ADs will remain stricken.

Finally, Liberty's counsel cannot reasonably expect their client to pay for their errors. They are ordered (1) to make no

charge for their time spent or the out-of-pocket expenditures incurred in connection with the replacement pleading, (2) to advise the client of that fact by letter and (3) to send a copy of that letter to this Court (purely for information, not for filing).

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: March 31, 2003